Candiates hope to strengthen SGA

SGA officers, CC members chosen today

By Bill Henley

Carlos F. "Pacheko" Singer will compete with last-minute candidate Dan Dabbert for the SGA presidency in the Campus Council elections scheduled for today. Erik Olsen will apparently run unopposed for the SGA vice-presidency. Candidates for the six remaining at-large Campus Council seats include Jeff Bates, Mike Coe, Dave Earley, Miriam Gelser, Frank Giamo, Dave Gilman, Rod Kennedy, Jeff Linton, Noreen Markley, and Meg Munson.

Carlos Singer hopes, if he wins, to "open up the SGA to the students" and to increase its power, which he feels is now inadequate. Of student government relations with Galpin Hall, he says, that he is "not against the administration"; he feels its members are "fairly competent" and that "the best way to solve problems is to work with them, not against them." Singer promises to look at the College tenure policy; he believes that "there are a lot of teachers here that leave a lot to be desired." He does not advocate firing anyone, but he hopes to improve faculty performance and faculty-student relations by setting up a discussion center in the SGA office where "good" as well as "bad" teachers would confront students and work out problems. Also, Singer hopes to revitalize the SGA tutoring program, which he says has fallen apart.

Singer is somewhat opposed to the Wooster Student's Party, which, he feels, has dominated the SGA without properly representing all of the student body. He appreciates specific things accomplished by the PSP, such as the record co-op and the "people's concerts," but disagrees with the PSP's collective ideology. He hopes to open up the SGA office area for the use of other campus organizations besides the SGA.

Dan Dabbert, opposing Singer for the SGA presidency, promises if elected to make the SGA "an accessible body for the diversity of viewpoints that are often overlooked on this campus," and to be, as SGA president, more than a "P.R. man for those wishing to accenuate and preserve the status quo." He hopes to make the SGA "a viable vehicle for evolutionary, as well as revolutionary, change," and to encourage the President of the College to "maximize student input." Four additional students have declared their candidacy for Campus Council: Anne Liske, Dan Amori, Dave Schmorder and Maria Miranda.

The VOICE was able to reach two for comment. Dave Schmorder wishes to redefine the purposes of Campus Council, LCB and SGA.

Anne Liske, a sophomore, intends to remove power from LCB, making it responsible for student services. Also, she would like to see students "work to a much more powerful Campus Council." She feels that his familiarity with a wide range of the student body will enable him to contribute to giving the student body an "equal share of responsibility" in the affairs of the campus community.

Erik Olsen, running for the SGA vice-presidency, and Mike Coe, seeking a Campus Council seat, share, each a platform calling for a powerful student voice in campus affairs and for a student attitude of "healthy skepticism" in the workings of food service, tenure, the Code of Conduct, visitation regulations, housing options, admissions policies, and campus governance in general. They call for making SGA and Campus Council accountable to all members of the campus community, including nonacademic employees; for providing co-ed housing for those who want it; and for promoting relations between the "greater community." They stress that they are struggling as independents with no party affiliation.

Nine other students are vying for Campus Council at-large positions.

Jeff Bates suggests that the SGA and the Campus Council need to investigate their roles and functions and "define who should be representative of the students, who speaks, and should be representative of the students, who speaks." The people's concerns and various speakers brought to the COW under PP auspices, saying,一個人 can only stand as the student body on such controversial issues as the lettuce boycott. He favors a neutral, self-determination," which he believes is the class of elections for which the community is better equipped.

FRANK GIAMO and DAVE EARLEY, the People's Party candidates for Council, assure that they will stand on past People's Party accomplishments as they are making their claims or promises. Among the PP achievements they cite are the foundation of the record and book co-op, the increase in student Campus Council representation from 6 to 9 members, the "people's concerts," and the various speakers brought to the COW under PP auspices, including Benjamin Spock, Maurice Zelstin and Nancy Wechsler. Their "only promise" is that they be in the PP. The People's Party candidates for Council will be represented by the students, who speak, and should be representative of the students, who speaks.

Mike GELZER says that continued on page eight

Book co-op to open today

The Wooster People's Party Community Store will begin selling used books starting today. This service will operate in the same manner as the used record sales now function. There will be tables set up outdoors on Record Co-op day and you can bring the books you want to sell to these tables. Mark the price you want for each book on an index card inside the books. When a book is sold, the money goes to the seller; no cuts will be taken from the money. Index cards and envelopes will be provided by the People's Party. Since this service is primarily for textbooks, the book co-op will only be operating at the beginning and end of each quarter. However, any books may be sold at this time. This quarter the co-op will operate from today until Monday, March 19. Next quarter it will be open from Friday, April 1, until Monday, April 13. Hours are the same as the Wooster Community Store: 4:00 - 7:00 on Mondays and 9:00 - 4:00 on Saturdays. Although the book co-op cannot guarantee the sale of your books, it does guarantee that you will get all the money from sales.
Human life amendment needed

Dear Editor:

Tom Wilkinson’s Letter to the Editor (March 1, 1974) was brought to my attention by a campus “informant”!!

I have sent a copy of the letter to Dr. and Mrs. Wilke, Since they are in Europe at the invitation of the Portuguese Medical Association which they invited, was originally for 8 days but because of the floor of requests by other organization’s, the Portuguese Medical Association extended the invitation another 20 days), it may be awhile before they respond to Mr. Wilkinson’s invitation. However, I did want to warn Dr. Wilke against spouting “abused metaphors” using hysterical emotional appeal, and shoddy or non-existent logic”. According to Mr. Wilkinson’s (student of political philosophy, College of Wooster) logic, a 3 h. talk by Dr. Wilke in Wooster, Ohio is a threat to the democracy of the entire United States – it frightens me to think what will happen to Europe after 20 days!!

Apparently, Mr. Wilkinson was not present during the first few minutes of Dr. Wilke’s talk because it was then that Doctor explained that he would not be discussing religious beliefs because laws cannot be based on sectarian religious beliefs – they must be based on the truth, the science, the knowledge that can be brought to the subject.

Dr. Wilke then presented the truth, the science, and knowledge, followed by documented shots of the unborn. He then stated: “You decide, is this a baby?” This to Mr. Wilkinson (student of political philosophy) is “abused metaphors, hysterical emotional appeal, and shoddy or non-existent logic”.

The only situation in our history comparable to the present decision on abortion, which removes all protection from the life of unborn humans, was the Dred Scott decision, which removed all protection from rights from black humans.

Federal, mandatory amendments (13th and 14th) were needed to give constitutional rights to black people. Did these amendments reflect the will of the people? Did these amendments give the citizens of the United States of America their democracy?

The argument (Dr. Wilke was spouting his own religious beliefs on the rest of us) used by Mr. Wilkinson is a sign that he is without any real substantive arguments for justifying abortion laws. This is the same one that he used to try to get him to use in a country that prides itself on the protection of church and state.

This issue is more than abortion, it is the whole tone of society and its response to the needs of human life. For this reason, people of all faiths and of no faith defend the laws which protect human life from destruction and claim the right to speak on this issue.

It has been common in the history of intellectual thought, that whenever a given society wants to rationalize making some human action permissible and free from civil control, the action involved is characterized as “theological,” dependent on one’s own religious beliefs, and therefore not proper subject matter for civil legislation. As a student of political philosophy, Mr. Wilkinson should know this. His present posture is nothing new at all.

So, as they say in Kansas: “PRAISE THE LORD AND PASS A HUMAN LIFE AMENDMENT!”

Respectfully,
Audrey Koors
Willke's
Orrville, Ohio 44667
682-2529

Child in womb is a person with rights

Dear Editor:

A number of current issues converge on the right-to-life movement. Abortion, specifically, brings to a head current, cunning tactics which treat people as numbers.

From the high-flying bombardiers in Vietnam to wealthy grape-growers in California or starving persons in Ethiopia or babies in the womb, the one basic issue keeps asserting itself: again and again: Is this person in the rice paddy, vineyard, peasant class or womb a human person? If so, then this person has rights which no one, in justice, can take from them, including the right to life.

A couple weeks ago, Dr. Wilke’s talk at Mates Auditorium made this point clear a number of times, contrary to the student opinion in this column, Dr. Wilke did not make this a religious issue nor did he bring his position on religion. He made that point several times.

Student, Tom Wilkinson, in a letter to editor last week, rightly fears a totalitarian, the suppressive regime which reflects only one person’s point of view. Contrary to his reply last week, however, what more power to a State could one give it in a totalitarian way than the power to determine who is a person and who is a non-person, who has the right to life and who does not? Further, to disagree with Dr. Wilke’s talk on the basis that it was emotional is purely a subjective preconception on the listener’s part and skirts the real issue for it is the same issue that is brought to the debate.

By Dr. Wilke on a scientific, rational basis as a practicing gynecologist: is this being done to a woman or a non-person? Thank God our demo-cracy still lets him speak.

Fr. Elmer E. Marquard Newman Campus Minister/Wooster
Residence: St. Mary’s, Wooster

COW needs more than discussion

To the Editor:

Recently there has been considerable commotion elicited by our current student leaders about the roles that the SGA should assume in campus policy-making. The time is long overdue for the College of Wooster to re-evaluate its philosophy and attitude toward students in the political structure of this institution. Assuming that the newly elected SGA officers retain the General Assembly, then there is no reason for the Administration of this college to believe that students are any less competent in the responsible allocation of their student funds and determination of their own social policies and programs than the Administration has been in presiding over these student affairs in the past. It is equally ridiculous for anyone to argue that students already control Campus Council and therefore Council funding and policy decisions when the irrevocable presidential veto covers such large chunks of the students on the Educational Policy Committee provide important, yet always academic policy decisions when comp d’esté’s in new calendars and curriculums and the SGA is not involved from without the least involvement of the Wooster student body. So, it is logical to assume that nonconfidential student employees are fired for exercising their constitutional rights to organizational union and organized into collective bargaining units, and when students are a majority of those to the degree evidenced by the Administration’s mean-spirited behavior in attacks on the constitutional convention that the entire student body is defeated and that those students have forgotten the days of the battle of the Funkabusters, and when nearly every student policy initiative is stifled by the arbitrary and seemingly included nationalization of the College’s tripwire bureaucracy, then it is not at all a bad time for concrete re-evaluation is long overdue.

For these reasons the proposals raised by Byrars, Burns, Schaefer, and Newman are commendable and are a serious consideration in the format of a major college constitutional convention, such as that proposed by Charles Schollenberger.

However, one apprehension is that such a convention might become another “Values and Goals: Imperatives of the Funk” Forgotten in the Past. Granted discussion is always timely, yet always meaningless when in its wake no concrete transactions occur. Unfortunately discussion is at only level of student participation in College affairs, that is, most of the Administration seeks to encourage. This time is

continued on page four
Tight, heavy' Auger concert a success

by James Douglass

An interesting and entertaining blend of music filled Armington Phys Ed Center last Sunday, and hopefully marked the end of the four musicians employed such acts as two microphones, three microphones, and a variety of other keyboards, string, and percussive instruments. Not to mention the music was made to the mode of 'British rock' (i.e. YES, EMERSON, LAKE & PALMER; KING CRIMSON). Thought similarities did exist, there was enough difference to make the sound definitely distinct from Definitely ETHOS.

After hearing this album, I now understand his previous A WIZARD/ A TRUE STORY. That album was a transition from his original be-bop sound (in SOME TIME ANYTHING) to his present very hard and very electronic rock. For you 'Hello, It's me' fans, don't worry, Todd is still around. There are only three songs which reflect the old Rundgren.

Don't take that to mean you won't enjoy TODD! In TODD, Rundgren shows that he is not only the pop-scientific wizard, but also the true king of the electric-synthesizer sound. If you aren't sitting down the first time you hear this album you will be by the end of the first side. His new sound is very heavy and no doubt was written for the full entertainment of your head as it's hard to do anything but listen to it. I must say, that Todd Rundgren will ever go back to his original be-bop sound. He has progressed very far in his inner self and his outlook on life and it is easy to see in his music. It's hard to say what is actually the best offering of this TODD album, but don't judge it until you hear Number One Lowest Common Denominator and Everybody's Going To Heaven/King Kong Reggae. They are outstanding pieces of music.

Todd moves from be-bop to electronic

by Paul Kane

From the opening "Fanfare" to the closing "Son of '44", Todd Rundgren displays his new and real sound. The new double album entitled TODD is probably the weirdest, most bizarre and best album you will ever hear. The chance of hearing in a long time,

Described in just a few words: freaky and great.

Effective presentation of 'Hands'

By John Hamlin

Most students don't have much free time this weekend for social or cultural activities. But for anyone who can make it, there is something stimulating to break up an unusually dull weekend here at Wooster.

IN BOTH HANDS, presented tonight and Saturday night in Scott Auditorium at 8:15, is entertaining, honest, and powerful. It is worth the time, and is priced conveniently for end-of-the-quarter bankrupts. Admission is free.

Rarely is this campus fortunate enough to be exposed to a production written and directed by the same person, Actor-in-residence Annette Jefferson has focused her multiple talents on IN BOTH HANDS, using a Wooster cast, set and crew. The result is effective.

The play is simple in its presentation of different philosophies and possible solutions for the liberation of Blacks. The father and son are alienated from each other — the father promotes love as a solution, the son promoting militancy. Ms. Jefferson has given both sides equal time, leaving any decisions or judgements up to the audience. In fact, there has been no communication and for the future is the feeling the play generates — not only from its view of Blacks, but from its view of the world. The play doesn't provide any answers, just important questions.

Ms. Jefferson has done a good job casting. The show is skillfully staged on three separate levels. It is rare to see the stage width captured by Todd Rundgren and Willa Brewer, as Willa (the mother), shows great sensitivity in a highly pleasing performance. As Mark (the son), Michael Harrell is intensely powerful and dynamic. At times his performance is overpowering.

Women's caucus declares today 'International Women's Day'

On March 8, 1857, a group of working women from New York City's Fifth Avenue organized the New York Working Women's Convention. This group marched to the fashionable section of town to demand an eight hour day, a 50% raise in pay, and improved working conditions for all workers.

On the anniversary of this event, 51 years later, a group of Lower East Side women in the needle trades staged a demonstration for the same demands, and two more: the abolition of child labor, and women's right to vote. Hundreds were arrested and beaten by the New York Police, but the message was heard around the world.

Two years later, in 1910, at an International Socialist Congress in Denmark, Clara Zetkin, the German Socialist leader, proposed that March 8 be celebrated as International Women's Day.

The Women's Caucus of the People's Party is sponsoring an International Women's Day program this Friday at 4 p.m., in the pit. The program will be a cooperative effort of students and faculty, a combination of talks, music and drama about women.

The Women's Caucus of the P.P. feels that national and international activity is needed in support of women's activities on the Wooster campus. They are trying to create a more supportive atmosphere for women at Wooster by bringing speakers, like Nancy Wochaler, films, and initiating a study group spring quarter, on significant radical women through history.
More letters to the editor

Concealed mediocrity
continued from page two
less verbal tradec has been the most enduring standard
to which the College has abided.
Sometimes I wonder whether the Admissions-Administration ever wonders when its wanton number of applicants will finally become turned off by the snow-job tours and interviews that are so obviously intended to conceal these embarrassing facts of Wooster life. Could they wonder why the disaffected Wooster student decides to transfer after realizing that Wooster was merely a parade of unfulfilled promises?
Can the College of Wooster afford to conceal its mediocrity any longer? I fully endorse the SGA's efforts to broaden its influence in campus affairs and Charlie Schollenberger's efforts to organize a grand constitutional convention to which the hidden (to some of us) realities of Wooster can be finally examined and be found wanting a new, more equitable and libertarian liberal arts college established.
Sincerely,
John Browder

'Slander' in VOICE letter page

To the Editor:
I feel almost silly about having brought such an obvious point to your attention, but I believe that you have done a terrible disservice to several individuals, the campus community at large, and to your own reputation as a journal in the public interest. For the editor's policy which you have displayed in printing correspondence from your readers, I would suggest that you consider the following points.
1. The VOICE editors have an opinion they wish to present; that opinion is best expressed in its own editorial section, clearly indicating that the opinion represents the views of the VOICE editorial staff.
2. If your readers have opinions they wish to present, they should feel obligated to accept responsibility for their views by identifying themselves. If they do not wish to do so then it seems clearly incumbent upon VOICE editors to ignore such correspondence; otherwise the responsibility for the views is YOURS.
This would seem to be the very minimum that one would expect from a journal which intends to be responsible to the community it serves.
Since a "Letters to the Editor" column is generally designed to encourage public dialogue on important issues before the community, that purpose is defeated when names are "withheld." Instead of fostering debate or discussion, acquiescing to such a policy encourages shallow thinking and gossip.
Anyone on your staff who believes there is a place for anonymous slandering of individuals in a newspaper might consider the consequences of a libel suit out there in the "real world." Closer to home, one might consider the consequences of handing in a research paper that is undocumented. One might also consider the simple application of the Golden Rule; perhaps that's the most important consideration of all.
I suggest that the VOICE owes an apology to those individuals which it has allowed to be slandered in its pages. I also suggest that the VOICE owes an apology to the community for incredibly and inexcusably sub-standard editorial policy.
Yours sincerely,
Eric Slater

Object to unsigned slam at Karan

Dear Editor:
We would like to comment on last week's letter "Has Karan found his calling?". We object to the slanderous reference in it on Brad Karan's character. If you do not think Karan would make a good congressman, then you should come out and say so, and not reflect on his personal worth. We find it even more disagreeable that having such a strong belief in your own personal opinion that you don't have enough conviction to sign your name. Personally, we believe Brad Karan would do a very effective job in Congress, and he has our full support.
Roderic Owen
Michael Freeman

Slater revives 'calumnious lies'

To the Editor:
Normally I don't care what the papers print about me, as long as they spell my name right. However, a letter published by the VOICE last week demands a response. Let me state clearly: I am not now, nor ever have I been, a member of the People's Party, nor a fellow traveler therewith, nor a member of any similar organization. This list and the reports that secret papers were found in my Halloween pumpkin, that I contributed to the Fund to Rehabilitate the Rosenbergs, and that a personal friend of Helen Gahagan Douglas are all lies. We must fight the enemies of truth, liberty, and the Invisible Hand wherever they appear, but we must fight them OPENLY so that the world may know and appreciate what we do. Perhaps the struggle should be waged through the political process, by organizing an alternative party, an Aristocrats or Tory party, dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal but spend the rest of their lives rectifying errors. However, so long as we try to win the hearts and minds of the people, let us avoid blacklisting and cowardly, anonymous denunciations, for by such means we become no better than the enemies we fight.
Vigilantly,
Niall W. Slater

(Trapped) in a Turkish library

To the Editor:
Monday, March 4...
...Help! I am sweating to death in a library heated to 85°F. For an administration that lists the priority of maintenance as reason for maintaining the long Christmas break, there sure appears to be little positive action taking place. Either there's a lot of double-talk being aired or the library custodial staff is trying to make their job cleaning the place easier by keeping students out.

- J. Klein
Guest artist deep into theatre

by Scott Welnsart

Talk about the theatre and you are talking about Ametta Jefferson's life. She has been an actress, director, teacher, and talk show hostess and television dramatist. This quarter she is guest lecturer in the Department of Speech. She is teaching a Black Theatre course and directing "IN BOTH HANDS," an original three-act drama opening Thursday, March 7, in Scott Auditorium.

Jefferson was born in Detroit, and spent most of her life in Cleveland. She is currently employed by WEWS Educational Television Station in Cleveland as a Writer-Producer. For a while she taught at Glenville High School where she was Chairwoman of the English Department and head of drama. After one year as a master teacher at John Carroll University, Jefferson wrote text books for The Educational Research Council of America. She has lectured and read poetry at various universities around the country.

Jefferson first produced "IN BOTH HANDS" for television as a ninety minute special in 1970. The play is currently running at The Humana Theatre in Cleveland.

"I enjoy working for television," she says, "but I would rather direct a play for the stage. I like the idea of actors communicating directly with an audience."

"IN BOTH HANDS" is the story of a minister and his family in the lower south side of Chicago. "Junior" Joe is a middle-aged minister trying to spread the word of God to the people of their inner city area, despite the fact his son Mark does not hold with the traditional ways of his father, Mark and his college friends get involved in a demonstration which turns violent, and Mark is arrested for the suspicion of murdering a policeman.

Council Capsule

Campus Council met Tuesday afternoon, March 15, to discuss several matters.

Chairperson Ron Wilcox began the meeting by presenting an amendment to the ISC pledging guidelines to Council. The amendment concerned the procedure in which any grievances will be handled. Bob Newman announced that the SGA/Council elections will be this Friday, March 8. Also under announcements, James Bean announced the play IN BOTH HANDS will be presented this Thursday, Friday, and Saturday in Scott Auditorium. The play is directed by Artist-In-Residence Ametta Jefferson.

The first item of business concerned the proposed revised LCB constitution. LCB chairperson, Dave Mirliss, reported to Council that there were a couple of proposals in the document concerning the creation of an advisory board, and the appointing of LCB officials instead of the standard election process. Dr. John Hondros, faculty advisor to LCB, spoke against both proposals. Hondros said that a group, such as LCB cannot afford to become isolated from the student body. The appointing, instead of the election, of LCB officials to produce this isolation, Hondros went on, would probably not be effective, because it would not yield enough power. After much discussion on these proposals, Peter Havlovich moved to table the approval of the constitution until a committee, which would be appointed by Wilcox, could meet with LCB about the document. The motion was defeated 6-6, Havlovich then moved to approve the LCB constitution as it presently stands. This motion was also defeated by a vote of 4-7. After these two motions, Dave Mirliss asked Council to trust LCB, and adopt the proposed constitution for a one year trial period. Nancy Cadle supported this suggestion by saying that a year would give ample time to see how the constitution would work. James Turner then moved to approve the proposed LCB constitution for one quarter. The motion passed 10-2.

Council's second item of business concerned work on the amendment to the Campus Council Memorandum. Gaddell adopted a few changes in the memorandum except for item 10, which will be sent back to committee for review.

Audience adjournment, Council agreed to convene next week's meeting at 3:30 Tuesday, March 19.
**Scotties edge Ohio Dominican, fall to Ashland**

One win and one loss in their last week of regular season play brought the Wooster-Scotties' basketball record to four wins and seven losses. Playing against Ohio Dominican on Friday, February 22, the Scotties brought home a win, the final tally being 55-31. Against Ashland, the Scotties lost a close one, 51-48, but as Wooster Coach Nan Nichols said, "we played the best we have all season."

Important to the outcome of the Ohio Dominican game was that it was a team effort. Coach Nichols sent all ten women into the game and made points; they did! Wooster led throughout most of the game, employing a steady offense and an aggressive, tight defense. Morale was high, as the Scotties had won their previous game, and the key to this win was the combination of spirit and good, controlled play.

The varsity weren't the only winners in the Ohio Dominican match. The junior varsity finally "put it together" outscored and outplayed their adversaries, 24-32. The JV game was marked by good team play, and the players, according to several teammates, "We realized we had to do it, and we finally played the way we should have."

Junior Andy Steenberg deserves recognition for her completion of two foul shots at a crucial time in the game, shots which tied the score. Andy was a spark throughout the game, with her spirit on the playing field and white off.

Ashland was a scene of frustration for the JV, as they closed out their season with a 39-30 loss there. Apparently Ashland was really out to win that one, as play was marked by a lot of roughness and unpleasantness on the Ashland team's part. Wooster played a 2-3 defense throughout the game, and even though the defense was able to keep the Ashland 1-3-1 offensive at bay, Ashland consistently scored on its outside shots. The junior Scotties took fewer shots than the Ashland five, and this hurt the final score. Laurie Priest and Lisa England both were complimented by fellow team mates for their efforts in the Ashland game.

The varsity clash was a close one - at the end of the first quarter the score with Ashland leading was 15-13; at the half, Ashland led 26-24; in the third quarter, score, 38-38, and again, the final, Ashland, 51, Wooster 44. Throughout the game, Wooster led the other play-for-play and shot-for-shot, Coach Nichols said, "We played this game developed through an increase in self-confidence, which both Sue and the entire team felt the benefits of, Coach Nichols commented junior Brenda Meece for her fine play in the last few regular season games, as well as tournament play. Senior Annette Baird, captain of the varsity, had an excellent season, and scored in double figures in every game.

**OSU women take tourney**

Ohio State University once again won the laurels in state women's basketball competition. In the fourth annual OASW tournament held in the Armington Physical Education Center February 28 - March 3, the Staters beat Bowling Green in the finals, 72-62.

Chairman of the P.E. Department, Glenn Hunt, termed the three-day tournament "a success." Saturday, final day, the stands were crowded, not only with OU and BGSU fans but mainly with students from local high schools.

Ms. Hunt strongly expressed her thanks for support for this tournament, stating, "I appreciate the help and support that our women students gave during all the tournaments we've had. Without them, holding these events just wouldn't be possible."

Ohio State pulled no surprises in arriving at the final, BGSU certainly did. The runners-up defeated two strong teams, Cedarville and the University of Dayton, to gain their berth in the finals. Third place in the tournament went to Ohio University, who beat Cedarville College 48-42. A big upset was the first-round loss of the University of Dayton to Cedarville, 53-36, after UD had defeated Cedarville twice in regular season play. The University of Dayton did gain recognition in the tournament as they won the consolation final over Ohio Northern University by the top-sided score of 56-22.

Ashland College's quarterfinal loss to OU was a shocker. Ashland had beaten OU earlier in the season; this time the game went the other way with Ashland scoring in the final seconds to bow out, 55-53.
OSU knocks Scotties out of tourney

By Janet Smelz

"We peaked at tournament time - the right time," Coach Nan Nichols was obviously pleased - "yes, extremely pleased," with the Wooster Scotties' play in the state women's intercollegiate tournament held here last weekend.

The varsity ultimately met their match in the quarterfinals, where they were knocked out of the tourney by Ohio State University, 59-40. OSU was the eventual weekend winner, and Coach Nichols termed the Wooster-OSU game as "the climax to the season".

In their first game of the competition the Scotties had little trouble disposing of Malone College. Final score for that game was Wooster 40, Malone 19. The entire squad shared in this Wooster win. Wooster's defense, playing a 2-3 zone, completely stopped Malone's last break attempts and Malone's efforts to drive into the key and hook. Malone scored most of their points on foul shots in this game.

Thursday evening had Wooster and Capital University in a showdown. Wooster defeated Capital in regular season play this year but it was a close game. Play early in the game reflected this, as it took the Scotties until the fourth quarter to loosen up, come from behind, pull together, and win the game, 35-23. This early play almost cost the Scotties the game, as the women played a scrappy game, scoring on lucky moves, missing their free throws, refusing to take the outside shot. The Scotties weren't getting up for the rebound - there just wasn't any depth displayed.

But the fourth quarter was different - Wooster began to use the outside shot more, and connected. Offensively, Wooster began to screen more. There were more assists in scoring, better passing, amazing teamwork. Brenda Meese and Sue Rohrer deserve mention for the way they "came alive" in the fourth quarter of this Capital game. Wooster at one point escaped a Capital "pressure" and even scored. Excitement was the word for this game, shown also by the final 2-point Wooster edge.

The nineteen-point final score advantage OSU held over COW was the closest any team came to beating the tournament winners. "We played well against the No. 1," is Coach Nichols summary. One of the Coach's pleased comments concerned the way the Scotties were able to cut off OSU's fast break attempts, "As soon as they got the rebound, we sent Annie Baird and Sue Rohrer down to our basket - just like they did to us!"

Outside shooting was a major factor in the high Wooster score.

Football clinic here

(NEWS SERVICES) - The College of Wooster, in cooperation with the Alumni Association, is sponsoring its 23rd Annual Football Clinic on March 5. A number of top name coaches will explain some of the tactics that have made them successful.

Headlining the affair is Miami University's new head coach, Dick Crum. Crum coordinated the Redskin defense over the past four years so successfully that Miami never rated lower than sixth in the nation.

Last year the Redskins led the nation in defense, posted a perfect 11-0 mark, and shocked the University of Florida in the Orange Bowl.

Crum's rock-ribbed defense limited the high scoring Gators to only seven points.

Other featured speakers include: Ralph Staub, offensive line coach at Ohio State; Chuck Shuff, new head coach at Massillon's Washington High School; and Bill Kjerrumgaard, highly successful coach at Waynedale High School.

Another exclusive feature of the Clinic will be a special guest appearance from Jack Lengyel, head coach at Marshall University. "Black Jack" piloted the Scots before accepting the Marshall position.

He, of course, was faced with totally rebuilding Marshall's football program after the fatal airplane crash in 1970. Lengyel will speak at a luncheon on his role in "Rebuilding After the Marshall Tragedy."

Wooster head coach Pat O'Brien is excited about the Clinic.

"I think this is one of the best clinics we've ever offered," he said enthusiastically. "In fact, it compares with the best anywhere in the country.

"Our facilities are excellent," he noted, "and we have an outstanding slate of speakers."

Action gets underway at 8:00 with registration in the Arlington Physical Education Center. Pre-registration fee is $5.00, cost at the door is $6.00.

Sports and society

By Glenn Forbes

Last weekend, television viewers were treated to over twenty hours of sports programming. Some ignorant critics might say that that was too much, but obviously they don't understand the importance of much of television's sports programming.

How could any critic fail to see the value of Eastern Burgess and Jonathan Winters filming for amberjack on the AMERICAN SPORTSMAN? How could they suggest that sports survive without the SUPERSTARS, where the object seems to be something like being the best at some sport which one is not the best at? Finally, how could one fail to see the earth-shattering importance of those superstars playing a game of "Simon says" on ABC'S WIDE WORLD OF SPORTS?

Some say that sports are overexposed; I disagree. On the contrary, I think we need more coverage. We need coverage of frog jumping contests in...
Candidates urge 'input', attack 'bureaucracy'

continued from page one

her "primary objective" is to "substantially increase the power of SGA" since it is now so weak that it "hardly has a reason for existence," She also hopes to "improve communication between students and administration" and to "follow up action with the Food Service".

DAVE GIBIAN feels that the most important task is to "aid in the growth of communication channels" between the various groups on campus trying to fulfill their needs and failing because of lack of communication. He hopes to help students understand issues involved in such areas as the tenure process, the administrative inter program and housing philosophy and to improve student input into faculty-administration decisions in these areas. He supports the combination of the SGA, and Lowry Center Board into one organization.

ROD KENNEDY's main objective is to cut down on the "bureaucracy" involved with all the various campus organizations. "The problem really hit me when I found out we have a committee on Committees," He supports putting SGA under LCB control, to form a campus-wide student-run convention to "redefine the SGA function".

Check on your Library books and reserve book fines before quarter break. All books (except I.S.) due on March 11th must be returned or renewed by then. Don't let the "Registration Hold" catch you!

JEFF LINTON hopes to balance what he considers "one-sided representation" on Campus Council by working to "improve the quality of life at Wooster" rather than to "radicalize the campus. He promises to represent the interests of the sections on Campus Council. He opposes the idea of 24-hour dorm visitation which he feels hurts the necessary "academic atmosphere" and hopes to find ways to reduce excess noise in dorms. Also, he opposes the mandatory letter boycott which he sees as an unfair attempt to impose ideology on food service operations.

NOREEN MARKLEY supports allowing individual floors of dorms to establish 24-hour visitation by vote, and wants to work for the establishment of a Donglass co-ed humanities dorm. She hopes to help establish better understanding between the different constituencies of the college.

MEG MUNSON is more concerned with "better and less arbitrary" decisions by those who now have power on the campus than with increased student responsibility. She favors a system by which campus issues would be sent to the Board of Trustees if Campus Council and the President fail to come to an agreement on them through discussion. She also favors the combination of SGA and LCB,

Calendar revision

continued from page one

stated sums of money over the Christmas break and that women in particular benefited monetarily from the break. Thus, the long break was found to be favorable to a majority of the students at the College.

However, this alone did not bring about the change in planes. The desire of the College to take favorable action in regard to the energy situation also led to the return to the long break. Due to the rising fuel costs for the College and the general fuel shortage at the present time, the College recognized the need to conserve fuel. It was also discovered that approximately $18,000 could be "saved" annually by the College in energy, manpower, and related types of expenditures by maintaining the present calendar.

"The new" calendar differs from the present one in two areas. None of the three terms will begin on Monday because of Sunday closings of gas stations. Also there will be one Saturday class in both the Winter and Spring quarters and no scheduled reading days before finals, permitting classes to end a week earlier at the end of the third quarter.

Thus, as no large educational questions were involved in the previously scheduled change in the calendar and due to the current exigency, Wooster will return to its "old" calendar in a modified form. Dean Cropp, in his recent memorandum to the campus community summed up the situation in stating that "the new" calendar appears to be in the best interests of the greater number of the campus community.

Curtis Enterprises and New Era Records

present

Spring Get Away

OVER $10,000 IN PRIZES TO BE AWARDED! ENTER NOW!
YOU CAN WIN THE VACATION OF YOUR LIFETIME!

Grand Prize

1. Five, sun-filled days -- four fantastic nights at the posh "Pier 66 Motel" on the ocean at FT. LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA! Honda rental bike during the duration of your stay!
2. First-Class round trip transportation from winner's location to FT. LAUDERDALE!
3. Dinner for two at the fabulous "Pink Pussy Cat"!
4. Dinner for two at the "Windjammer"
5. For two at "Pier 66" -- the world's most fantastic supper club overlooking the beautiful blue ATLANTIC!
6. $100.00 credit account in your name at "She" -- the swingiest singles spot in Florida! Top name entertainment seven days a week!
7. $80.00 credit account in your name at "The Button" -- Lauderdale's leading after-hours club!
8. $100.00 cash -- to spend as you please!

10 Each Second Prizes

1. Three days, two nights at Holiday Inn, on the ocean, at FT. LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA.
2. Round trip transportation (from winner's location).
3. Dinner for two at "The Windjammer".
4. $25.00 credit account in your name at "She."
5. $25.00 cash -- to spend as you please.

1,000 Third Prizes

1. Any one stereo LP or 8 track tape of your choice listed in the current New Era Records Catalog.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1. Contest open only to bonafide students of an accredited college or university.
2. Winners will be selected by the Curtis Enterprises, Inc. a Whirlpool Corporation, independent judging organization. Winners will be selected at random and the decision of the judges will be final. A list of all winners will be available upon written request to New Era Records.
3. To register, print in full name and send to all correspondence relating to New Era Records.
4. Enclose $1.00 for processing and handling. Remit by check or postal money order only payable to "New Era Records, Inc."
5. Mail your registration to:

Curtis Enterprises -- New Era Records

NEW ERA RECORDS
SUITE 217 DEPT. 20
3773 PEACHTREE ROAD N.E.
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30305

Entries must be postmarked NOT LATER THAN March 8th, 1974.