WOOSTER HONOR SYSTEM WAVE: "VOICE URGES CASTING OF VOTES "YES""

In today's voting on the Honor System students will not be able to register the degree of their support or lack of support. They will only be able to vote yes or no. The primary task is to weigh carefully the entire baskets of pro and con arguments and vote according to conscience.

We strongly urge students to vote yes if they have an interest in any honor system at all. Contrary to widespread belief, the proposal is not in a final form and is somewhat amenable. To be sure, this flexibility applies only to minor changes and not to the spirit of the code, but many of the criticisms currently circulating such as the lack of machinery for amendment itself or the concept of double jeopardy can be reconciled.

We realize the vote today as choice between accepting a program which would publicly acknowledge the principles of honesty and responsibility or announcing that despite these petitions for more freedom, the students are unwilling to accept the chance of enforcing their codes.

We see the vote today as an opportunity to put social power behind the concept of ethical conduct and end the easy abdication of responsibility manifested in the query, "What is it to me?"

We also see the vote today as a possible beginning for wider extension of student prerogatives into the area of academic affairs. The larger question is how we shall darken the book for a long time to come. Those who argue for the contrary, that is not a relevant point, are terribly naive.

Thus the vote today will decide one of the most important questions ever to face a society—namely its ability to act in a society.
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