Out Of Circulation After Forty Years

by Jeff Moore

This year "Wooster" will say farewell to one of its longtime sets and friends. Miss Mary L. Nesbitt, Miss Nesbitt, librarian at the college since 1956, will retire this summer after 40 years of service. She is a graduate of Wooster and Mrs. Garber and Mrs. Nesbitt operated the Library at Princeton University, University of Illinois, and the University of Chicago. After receiving her doctorate in Library Science at Western Reserve University, she came back to Wooster to head the loan department in 1931. In 1941 she became an assistant librarian at the college's Frick Library. She became the first woman librarian in 1950—the position she holds now.

Miss Nesbitt is supported by both faculty and students for her patience and skill as an administrator. She has kept the library open with the increasing demands of the academic community. She has been a great influence on the growth of the library and its function. She and its change from the Dewey Decimal to the Library of Congress classification system. To Miss Nesbitt, she has always been kind and understanding. She will return to the College Library Center, an organization comprised of 40 Ohio college libraries.

Though her retirement plans are still uncertain, Miss Nesbitt expressed interest in travel and pursuing her hobbies. She also would like to audit music and language courses here at Wooster.

Miss Nesbitt's successor will be Mr. Robert A. Jones who was Assistant Librarian and Head of Technical Services here two years ago, the post now held by Mr. Philip D. B. Garber. By the time Miss Nesbitt graduated from Wooster graduate now working on his Ph.D. at Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland.

Last Tuesday, a gleeful celebration the Senior Dilling of the L.C. patio. For this momentaneous moment more than a few were wearing the antidote to everything: mainline, that the library date of May is soon to be celebrated. The precisely determined set for the final file. "Dance activity stretch from the 5:30 to the 30th—this coming week. The brochure with the purple head, to be found at the L.C. front desk, reveals all.

PEOPLE ADVOCATE

What will the future be for Miss Nesbitt? She is a woman incredibly to believe about men, he said: "Since we can create something wonderful, this is why we have our own godliness." He talked about the experiences which led him out of Satanism, to the Church of Satan and to the Church of Satan, and about the way Satanists think and act.

He explained the significance of the pentagram he was wearing: finding out what the people in which the two upward points meet, and the three downward ones for the negation of the trinity. People in the center of the star represents intellectual, spiritual, and physical vices, to be killed. Later, in the Pit, he answered questions about the experiment with Mr. Hewitt in which he caused him to see an image. And so on. This is not to be believed, he explained them, and left it. He wants them to look into the image and reject or rejet what he said. Maybe he believe in this sort of thing and he doesn’t say it, but one of the more interesting lectures on Satanism is to be answered with this queer sight—worth in investigation, if nothing else.

Facultly Series

Risk And Commitment

by Arne and Beth Lewis

A letter to the Board of Trustees (Voice, April 9, 1971) asking the trustees to consider the morality of investments in firms manufacturing materials for chemical and bio-

chemical warfare and anti-personnel and incendiary weapons. We expressed the fear that Wooster might be earning dividends from efficient and indiscriminate devices for killing people. To some this may seem a quite naive for it raises sticky questions about the limits of an academic institution in society. Several of these questions are examined (Voice, March-April 1971) issue of the Har-

tard Review by Princeton professors, Barton Mal-

koff and Richard Quandt. In the "Moral Issues of Investment Policy," the two authors cite the maj-

orities of the dimensions of the argument that investments can and should be used to reform society.

Princeton predicted that the uni-

versity would lose about $5 million if it shifted its holdings from com-

panies involved in South Africa. During these difficulties, the commitment to risk lower re-

sumes. Malkoff and Quandt claim that "the moral argument is to make the university finan-

cially more secure. If he does not fulfill his primary duty, he may compromise his moral ob-

ligation."

And the Lord spoke To J. Garber??

by Bob Jensen

"Wooster is a place of God's sight, and the earth was filled with violence."—Genesis 11:11

The Lord was much displeased with what He of His creation had done. And God Search for the earth, and He found it was filled with violence, and He said, "I will destroy the earth, and every living soul that breathe shall come aboard may do so with ease. And when you have completed this task, return for more instructions. I will make this one thing perfectly clear, obey me and you and all the life in the ark will be saved."

President Drushal did this; he did it in all haste.

Then the Lord said to President Drushal, "I have determined to waste people, for the earth is filled with violence, and I will destroy every living soul that breathe shall come aboard may do so with ease. And when you have completed this task, return for more instructions. I will make this one thing perfectly clear, obey me and you and all the life in the ark will be saved."

President Drushal did this; he did it in all haste.

Drushal, says he, "I have not left my friends in great peril. The students will work and recite, he will, and the Lord knew no bounds, and he said, 'The students are being kept alive in the finger in protest. Go as I bid. Return to your studies."

And the Lord said, "And the birds shall rise, and the earth and the air shall be made safe."

Drushal, says he, "I have not left my friends in great peril. The students will work and recite, he will, and the Lord knew no bounds, and he said, 'The students are being kept alive in the finger in protest. Go as I bid. Return to your studies."

And the Lord said, "And the birds shall rise, and the earth and the air shall be made safe."

Weekend Kulchah

Farce de Maitre Pathelein—French play, 1:30 Sat., 2:00 Sun.

Kauke Arch (rain—TV studio, Wishart)

The University Symphony Orchestra, 8:15 Sun., Sunday Admittance $1.75 or student ID.

Modern Dance Concert—J.O.W. Dance Club, 8:15 Fri. and Sat.

Santos Auditorium.

Correction

The actual date of the smoke bomb at Colpin was Wednesday, May 5, rather than 14, as last week’s “Voice” indicated. As responsible journalists, we regret the error.
LEATHER SELLAR
ORDER YOUR CUSTOM-MADE SANDALS NOW!
LEATHER HOT PANTS, TOO
Only Two Weeks to Complete Your Order
234 W. North St. Phone 262-3151

IMPORTED PIPES — HAND BLENDED TOBACCO
DELICATESSEN
PAPERBACK BOOKS — MAGAZINES

VOICE

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

To the Editor:
Several iniquities in the actions of the SGA Cabinet have occurred that I feel must gain some attention in the writing of this letter, with the hope that various illegal actions by the Cabinet will be rectified.
First, this is a "bureaucratic" mistreatment of a student by the action of calling my name at the meeting. From two minutes. Also there will be those who will refer to this issue as "bureaucracy." The action of calling my name, which is not a complaint, will be discussed on the issue of the Constitution, which in turn requires one-half of at least two-thirds of the student body to be present at meetings. As far as I know, all minutes of the first meeting of the "new" Cabinet: members will be approved by the student body before two of the Members at Large and Vice President of EAM are officials in SGA student government. This will be done some time next week (April 19 meeting). At the time of the writing of this letter the forms have not been passed because the required number of signatures have not been presented.

To the Editor:
Over spring vacation a pamphlet entitled "Risk and Commitment" was mailed to Wooster parents and guardians. Intended to extend philosophy of the college, it begins with a discussion of the difficulties involved in making commitments, for, as it says, "to make any claims today involves risk." It continues by outlining the position of the student government, saying, "As it is related to the church, and as a partnership. Here is a second campus in a place where one may go with the expectation that something will transpire there that will shape his life" (this has not been the case). Clearly he was not in college," another important device for illiteracy in this campus student tutor program. "Wooster is committed to the idea that the campus is a place whose personality is built of people whose ideas, who can demonstrate good will and concern." When I read "Risk and Commitment," not many of the statements therein struck me as being particularly risky; in fact I found them bland and trite. As a matter of fact, however, to be thinking elsewhere for other examples of this type of writing is to become generically by alienation and occasionally by fallacy, and it has even been mastered by a few students. It appears in our publishing, at least visibly by a continual relationship of the Value, the Voice, and the alumni magazine, and has been the theme of our conversations. Such a talk is a yawn, an attempt to achieve, I believe, a "partnership" and another word used frequently and much is said about "growth", "values", and "lifestyle".
I was reminded of a definition of "ideology" that had appeared in one of my textbooks: "a doctrine or system of ideas to which individual language to the individual who subordinates his free rational mind... (ideology) is a complex set of words and language of thought, which appears to exist and develop independently of individual minds, and is not a set of ideas systematically manipulated by those who control the instruments of power." (Schurmann and Schell, The China Reader.)
To put aside the superficial way of writing and thinking about Wooster is an ideology is admittedly an overstatement of the truth, and I believe contact with the elements of truth. Certainly there is a "unique jargonistic language for talking about the C.O.W.
Further, people seem to be subjugating their rational minds when they choose to believe in the ideal of the "administration", not the reality. How else could the claim that I.S. allows a student to work "at his own pace" find its way into "Risk and Commitment"? When college officials use vague definitions of "growth", the language can become a weapon since it can be received with little comprehension. The political tone is still there, and one that has to be suited to definition, serious, for it allows for flexibility, but also it potentially could be used against a student.
Here is another example of language used as a weapon, an example of a student who believed he was the major was told by an ordering professor that such a move "would violate the spirit of the college." The implication could be a strong deterrent for one who was not on guard for such talk.
The use of this ideology brings certain problems. For one thing, the development of any assumption of implications of issues has meaning. A while ago Voice printed an article by senior Barb Zink criticizing the administration too much for questionable goals. Later, a rebuttal by two-thirds of the students had not voted. Yet the "new" Cabinet has met five or more members included, and decisions have been made on legisla
does not necessarily mean that those are not necessary to carrying out representing administrative policy.

NATE SPEIGHTS, Editor

Published weekly during the academic year except holidays and examination periods by the students of the College of Wooster. Opinions expressed in editorials and features are not necessarily those of the college as representing administrative policy.

Martha Honack
**Scotts At Akron Tourney Edged In OAC Title Game**

This Saturday the Wooster Scotts baseball team will travel to Akron to participate in the Akron University baseball tournament. In the first game Akron, 5-15, is scheduled to meet Baldwin-Wallace 8-5. In the second game, the Wooster Scots, 15-7, will face Youngstown State 18-6. The losers of both games will play a consolation game at 2:15, while the winners play a 4:15 championship game.

After losing a close Ohio Conference playoff game to Marietta, the Scots will be eager to get back and resume turning this Saturday. The Scots have lost five out of their last six ball games. Coach Walsh feels his team is hitting well, making very few errors, but not taking advantage of the good breaks.

This can be illustrated by looking at last week's championship game with Marietta. The Scots led 15 men stranded on base, made no errors and out hit the Pioneers 12-11. Marietta was able to earn all their runs to defeat the Scots 4-2.

Monday the Scots will be at home against Akron at 3:00.

**Golfers End Season, 21-6 Hope for California Trip**

The Wooster Scotts golfers rounded out one of the most successful seasons in the school's history this past week with five victories. The Scots' 21-6 record is third best among the state's college division teams and Wooster is a sure bet to receive a bid to the NCAA tournament in California.

Coach Bob Nye remarked at the close of the season, "There isn't any reason why we shouldn't hear about the NCAA's on Friday. Our 14th place finish of last year and this year's outstanding record puts us among the top teams in the nation." The Scotts' leaders who compiled the lowest averages in years, finished second in the Denison Invitational, the GLCA, the Ohio Conference, and 14th at the Miami Invitational and finished the year 21-6 have a right to be singing, "California here we come!"

**Scotties Victorious**

With a 4-1 victory over Akron Saturday, the Wooster Scotties tennis team upped its season mark to 6-2 going into Wednesday's match with Mt. Union. The season concludes with the state tourney this weekend.

In Wooster's successful women's lacrosse invitational last Saturday, Wooster won one and lost one to finish the season with a 3-2-1 ledger.

**Cindermen Ninth, Helm To NCAA's**

Junior John Helm qualified for the NCAA College Division Track Championships last Saturday at the Ohio Conference meet in Alliance with a long jump of 23' 6" that broke the track record. The Scots, who finished ninth in the OAC meet, finished tenth in the conference meet in 3:30 p.m., weather permitting.

In the 440-yard intermediate hurdles, junior Rick Sollmann ran his fastest time of the season, 53.9, to take second place. Classmate Paul Lynch won the 80 yard dash, clocking 10.7. Sollmann finished fourth in the mile relay with a time of 3:52, one second off the pace.

**Laxmen Split Week's Games Play At Ohio State Saturday**

Bill Seegar scored seven goals and accounted for two assists in a high scoring lacrosse game at Ashland last week. The Scots won 19-4, as Seegar broke the school scoring record for one game and the total points for one game—9.

In the home match against Ohio Wesleyan, a fine defensive team from southern Ohio beat the Scots 5-3. Wooster couldn't put the ball in the net as they hit on only three of forty shots.

This week Wooster goes south to play Ohio U—a game played the past Wednesday. On Saturday, the Scots go to the state capital to play OSU, a team in second place in the Midwest Lacrosse Association College Division.

Wooster is now 7-2, with two of these games being the last contests of the successful season under player-coach Tom Lamontes.
Wooster Investing In Death?

(Continued from Page 11)

...ground, the university may be subjected to external pressure such as the loss of its tax-exempt status. Because of these concerns, President Kenyon Brewster has stated clearly, “Yale as an institution cannot be the ‘sanitized’ for any cause, no matter how noble, or for the achievement of a social objective extraneous to its purpo".

Underlying these questions is the clear implication that the financial integrity of the university and, therefore, the continued existence of the institution are more important than any moral considerations. In this view, moral questions become luxuries which the university or college committed to academic excellence cannot afford today.

The College of Wooster, however, has since its founding been committed to values which go beyond the purely academic. The most recent analysis of the purpose of the college begins with a statement from Morris Kretov and Conrad Hillberry: “Again and again, the initial vitality of a college can be traced to a critical risk, often a distinctly moral commitment.” The booklet, Risk and Commitment, goes on to affirm that the College of Wooster is committed to teaching within a context that will not only transmit knowledge, but also values which grow out of a dynamic moral or Christian view of life. In other words, Wooster is a college of the church.

In making this commitment, the college rejected the “easy option” of sliding “slowly into a vague neutrality” and accepted a role as an institution committed to definitional values both for the individual and society:

“The Christian college, if it is to survive in contemporary America, may no longer consider itself as an enclave of orthodoxy... Rather its task is to develop a community of scholars through which Jesus Christ is witnessed to the world, by the persistent pursuit of truth, no matter how discombing, by the spirit of commitment they share among themselves, and by their resolution to be a moral conscience for the service of fellow-man.”

In the light of this strong affirmation of the College of Wooster as an institution which seeks to impart values and refuses to take refuge in neutrality, we find ourselves asking a different set of questions from those pragmatic ones of the Harvard Business Review:

—Can a college of the church limit the moral imperative to the teaching of its faculty and the life-style of its students? Must it not take its own commitment seriously and apply it to the administration of the institution itself? If it does not, will the college not run the risk of producing students who are cynical, not committed, who point to the college’s statement of affirmation as empty rhetoric, not something to be taken seriously?

—Can a college of the church, therefore, not consider the moral implications of its investment policies? Can the highest possible return on investments be justified as the final criterion or as an argument against taking moral stands?

—Again, the College of Wooster has, in understanding, in the past set guidelines for investment not on financial grounds, but on moral grounds (the decision not to invest in tobacco or alcohol). This, presumably, was done in the recognition that the ultimate test of the genuineness of one’s commitment lies in the way in which money is used to affirm or deny values.

—If the integrity of its commitment to moral values is central to the life of the college, does it not follow that the college must be willing to take financial risks as well as ideological risks? If the college refuses to take a moral stand out of fear of financial loss, does it not run the greater risk of losing its identity as a college of the church? In this light, can the college afford to allow any financial considerations, whether investment loss or tax-exemption status, to modify or distort its commitment to moral values? Is it not possible that the price of financial survival of the college on these terms could be the survival of a dead institution?

—Finally, can a college of the church avoid the moral issue posed by investments in any death-producing products? Risk and Commitment ends with the affirmation of Wooster as “a place where the love of God is shared.” Is it a place which stands in opposition to “a world where hate and the denial of life still flourishes.” If these words have any meaning, they must surely be affirmed through the college’s refusal to invest in death for others.